

Fall 2017

The following set of PDF pages shows the official text-reports from each course I have taught at McGill University; I will add to these with my Sewanee reports in winter 2018. The PDFs are listed chronologically, beginning with page 3: German 202, Fall 2010.

page 2) spreadsheet summary

page 3) German 202 - Beginner's German	Fall 2010
page 4) German 202 - Beginner's German	Winter 2010
page 5) German 202 - Beginner's Intensive German	Summer 2011
page 6) German 325 - Advanced Intensive German	Winter 2012
page 7) German 260 - Intro to German Literature	Winter 2013
page 8) German 307 - Intermediate German	Winter 2015
page 9) German 260 - Intro to German Literature	Winter 2015

In addition to these official reports, I served as a T.A. for German 357 "Modernity and the Moving Image," which was instructed by Michael Cowan. Please feel free to contact Michael Cowan, and also Liesl Allingham or Richard Apgar at Sewanee, for an assessment of my teaching.

Sewanee references:

Liesl Allingham, Chair, Sewanee German Department – lialling@sewanee.edu

Richard Apgar, Language Supervisor, Sewanee German Department – rbapgar@sewanee.edu

German 357 (T.A.) - German and European Studies, Modernity and the Moving Image:
Michael Cowan – mc258@st-andrews.ac.uk

Full list of teaching references:

<http://peterschweppe.weebly.com/evaluations-and-feedback.html>

password: florentin

- Pete

McGill Courses, Schweppe	Date	"Excellent Teacher" Rating: Scale 1 - 5	Department Avg. of same course	Department Avg. in general	Participation %
German 202 - Beginner German	Fall '10	4.7	4.6	4.6	71.40%
German 202 - Beginner German	Winter '11	4.7	4.5	4.4	89.30%
German 202 - Intensive Beginner	Summer '11	4.8*	n/a*	n/a*	54.20%
German 357 T.A. "Moving Image"	Fall '11	n/a**	n/a**	n/a**	n/a**
German 325 - Advanced Intensive	Winter '12	4.7	4.6	4.5	78.90%
German 260 - Intro to German Lit.	Winter '13	4.6	4.4	4.4	70.40%
German 307 - Intermediate German	Winter '15	4.4*	4.4*	4.5*	27.30%
German 260 - Intro to German Lit.	Winter '15	4.5	4.4	4.5	62.50%

* Data reflects one part of two-part courses: German 202 Intensive, German 307 Intermediate.

** See Michael Cowan or the LLC Dept for official records.

For full list with complete results, see text-report PDF.



End-of-course evaluations results, as one indicator of teaching effectiveness, are used to:

- a. to help instructors improve future offerings of courses;
- b. to inform students about courses and instructors;
- c. as a component of the teaching dossier; and
- d. to help administrators and faculty committees in their decision-making processes.

Written comments are treated as confidential and are not made available to the McGill community.

The course ratings reported here are only one indicator of teaching effectiveness and these results should be treated with caution since they represent reports on only one particular course.

COURSE: GERM202D1 001 : German Language, Beginners'
TERM CODE: 201009 MERCURY
INSTRUCTOR: Peter Schweppe
COMPLETED EVALUATIONS / TOTAL REGISTERED : 20 / 28 = 71.4%

The departmental means are calculated from the Faculty of Arts - Undergraduate courses questionnaire.

SUMMARY OF EVALUATION RESULTS

QUEST- NO.	VALID REPLIES	RESPONSE BREAKDOWN					PERCENT BREAKDOWN	STD MEAN	DEPT DEPT MEAN	COURSE MEAN	FIRST LINE OF QUESTION TEXT		
		1	2	3	4	5						N/A	
Q001	19			6	13		4.7	0.5	4.5	4.5	OVERALL, THIS IS AN EXCELLENT COURSE.		
Q002	20			1	8	11	4.5	0.6	4.5	4.5	OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS COURSE.		
Q003	20			1	5	14	4.7	0.6	4.6	4.6	P.S. : OVERALL, THIS INSTRUCTOR IS AN EXCELLENT TEACHER.		
Q004	20			1	8	11	4.5	0.6	4.5	4.5	P.S. : OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS INSTRUCTOR.		
Q005	20	1	1	6	12		4.5	0.8	4.4	4.3	P.S. : LECTURE(S) GIVEN BY THIS INSTRUCTOR WERE EFFECTIVE.		
Q006	20			3	17		4.9	0.4	4.7	4.7	P.S. : CONSIDERING CLASS SIZE, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AVAILABLE FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSULTATION.		
Q007	20	2	4	8	6		3.9	1.0	4.3	4.3	OVERALL, THIS COURSE WAS INTELLECTUALLY CHALLENGING.		
Q008	20	1	1	7	11		4.4	0.8	4.4	4.4	THE COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE CLEARLY EXPLAINED.		
Q009	20			1	6	13	4.6	0.6	4.8	4.8	STUDENTS WERE INVITED TO SHARE THEIR IDEAS AND KNOWLEDGE.		
Q010	20			1	12	7	4.3	0.6	4.4	4.4	TESTS, ASSIGNMENTS AND OTHER REQUIRED WORK FOR THE COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.		
Q011	20				11	9	4.5	0.5	4.4	4.4	THE EVALUATION METHODS USED IN THIS COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.		
Q012	20	1	2	7	10		4.3	0.9	4.4	4.4	COURSE MATERIALS (E.G., READINGS, LECTURE NOTES, EXERCISES, AUDIO-VISUAL PRESENTATIONS E		
Q014	19				2	17	5.0	0.0	4.2	4.0	THE TA WAS EFFECTIVE IN FULFILLING HIS/HER ROLE.		
Q015	16	1				15	6	94	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	FOR SOCIAL WORK STUDENTS ONLY: PLEASE SPECIFY IF YOU ARE BSW 90 CREDITS OR BSW 60 CRE

*** DEPT MEAN = Sum of all valid responses for this question in all courses in the department/number of such responses

*** DEPT COURSE MEAN = Sum of the means for this question for all courses in the department/number of courses in the department



End-of-course evaluations results, as one indicator of teaching effectiveness, are used to:

- a. to help instructors improve future offerings of courses;
- b. to inform students about courses and instructors;
- c. as a component of the teaching dossier; and
- d. to help administrators and faculty committees in their decision-making processes.

Written comments are treated as confidential and are not made available to the McGill community.

The course ratings reported here are only one indicator of teaching effectiveness and these results should be treated with caution since they represent reports on only one particular course.

COURSE: GERM202D2 001 : German Language, Beginners
 TERM CODE: 201101 MERCURY
 INSTRUCTOR: Peter Schweppe
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS / TOTAL REGISTERED : 25 / 28 = 89.3%

The departmental means are calculated from the Faculty of Arts - Undergraduate courses questionnaire.

SUMMARY OF EVALUATION RESULTS

QUEST- NO.	VALID REPLIES	RESPONSE BREAKDOWN					N/A	PERCENT BREAKDOWN					STD MEAN	DEPT DEPT MEAN	COURSE MEAN	FIRST LINE OF QUESTION TEXT	
		1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5					
Q001	25	1			5	19	4		20	76		4.6	0.9	4.3	4.3	OVERALL, THIS IS AN EXCELLENT COURSE.	
Q002	25	1			7	17	4		28	68		4.6	0.9	4.4	4.3	OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS COURSE.	
Q003	25	1			3	21	4		12	84		4.7	0.8	4.4	4.5	P.S. : OVERALL, THIS INSTRUCTOR IS AN EXCELLENT TEACHER.	
Q004	25	1			6	18	4		24	72		4.6	0.9	4.5	4.5	P.S. : OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS INSTRUCTOR.	
Q005	25	1	2		6	16	4		8	24	64	4.4	1.0	4.2	4.2	P.S. : LECTURE(S) GIVEN BY THIS INSTRUCTOR WERE EFFECTIVE.	
Q006	25	2			1	21	1	8		4	84	4	4.6	1.1	4.5	4.5	P.S. : CONSIDERING CLASS SIZE, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AVAILABLE FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSULTATION.
Q007	25	1		5	11	8	4		20	44	32	4.0	1.0	4.2	4.2	OVERALL, THIS COURSE WAS INTELLECTUALLY CHALLENGING.	
Q008	25	1			10	14	4		40	56		4.4	0.9	4.3	4.3	THE COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE CLEARLY EXPLAINED.	
Q009	25	1			4	20	4		16	80		4.7	0.9	4.7	4.7	STUDENTS WERE INVITED TO SHARE THEIR IDEAS AND KNOWLEDGE.	
Q010	24	1	2		12	9	4		8	50	38	4.2	0.9	4.1	4.1	TESTS, ASSIGNMENTS AND OTHER REQUIRED WORK FOR THE COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.	
Q011	25	1			12	12	4		48	48		4.4	0.9	4.2	4.2	THE EVALUATION METHODS USED IN THIS COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.	
Q012	25	1	1		10	13	4		4	40	52	4.4	0.9	4.3	4.2	COURSE MATERIALS (E.G., READINGS, LECTURE NOTES, EXERCISES, AUDIO-VISUAL PRESENTATIONS E	
Q014	22				2	20				9	91	5.0	0.0	4.5	4.4	THE TA WAS EFFECTIVE IN FULFILLING HIS/HER ROLE.	
Q015	18	1				17	6				94	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	FOR SOCIAL WORK STUDENTS ONLY: PLEASE SPECIFY IF YOU ARE BSW 90 CREDITS OR BSW 60 CRE	

*** DEPT MEAN = Sum of all valid responses for this question in all courses in the department/number of such responses
 *** DEPT COURSE MEAN = Sum of the means for this question for all courses in the department/number of courses in the department



End-of-course evaluations results, as one indicator of teaching effectiveness, are used to:

- a. to help instructors improve future offerings of courses;
- b. to inform students about courses and instructors;
- c. as a component of the teaching dossier; and
- d. to help administrators and faculty committees in their decision-making processes.

Written comments are treated as confidential and are not made available to the McGill community.

The course ratings reported here are only one indicator of teaching effectiveness and these results should be treated with caution since they represent reports on only one particular course.

COURSE: GERM202 001 : German Language, Beginners'
 TERM CODE: 201105 MERCURY
 INSTRUCTOR: Peter Schweppe; Nina Gerschack
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS / TOTAL REGISTERED : 13 / 24 = 54.2%

The departmental means are calculated from the Faculty of Arts - Undergraduate courses questionnaire.

SUMMARY OF EVALUATION RESULTS

QUEST- NO.	VALID REPLIES	RESPONSE BREAKDOWN					PERCENT BREAKDOWN					STD MEAN DEV	FIRST LINE OF QUESTION TEXT		
		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	1	2	3	4			5	N/A
Q001	13				4	9				31	69		4.7	0.5	OVERALL, THIS IS AN EXCELLENT COURSE.
Q002	13			1		12			8		92		4.8	0.6	OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS COURSE.
Q003	13				2	11				15	85		4.8	0.4	P.S. : OVERALL, THIS INSTRUCTOR IS AN EXCELLENT TEACHER.
Q004	13			1	3	9			8	23	69		4.6	0.7	P.S. : OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS INSTRUCTOR.
Q005	13				3	10				23	77		4.8	0.4	P.S. : LECTURE(S) GIVEN BY THIS INSTRUCTOR WERE EFFECTIVE.
Q006	13					11	2				85	15	5.0	0.0	P.S. : CONSIDERING CLASS SIZE, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AVAILABLE FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSULTATION.
Q011	13			2	7	4			15	54	31		4.2	0.7	OVERALL, THIS COURSE WAS INTELLECTUALLY CHALLENGING.
Q012	13				2	11				15	85		4.8	0.4	THE COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE CLEARLY EXPLAINED.
Q013	13			1	4	8			8	31	62		4.5	0.7	STUDENTS WERE INVITED TO SHARE THEIR IDEAS AND KNOWLEDGE.
Q014	13				9	4				69	31		4.3	0.5	TESTS, ASSIGNMENTS AND OTHER REQUIRED WORK FOR THE COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.
Q015	13				5	8				38	62		4.6	0.5	THE EVALUATION METHODS USED IN THIS COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.
Q016	13			1	4	8			8	31	62		4.5	0.7	COURSE MATERIALS (E.G., READINGS, LECTURE NOTES, EXERCISES, AUDIO-VISUAL PRESENTATIONS ETC.) WERE
Q018	11						11					100	0.0	0.0	THE TA WAS EFFECTIVE IN FULFILLING HIS/HER ROLE.
Q019	9						9					100	0.0	0.0	FOR SOCIAL WORK STUDENTS ONLY: PLEASE SPECIFY IF YOU ARE BSW 90 CREDITS OR BSW 60 CREDITS.

4 questions were skipped because they belong to another instructor.

*** DEPT MEAN = Sum of all valid responses for this question in all courses in the department/number of such responses

*** DEPT COURSE MEAN = Sum of the means for this question for all courses in the department/number of courses in the department



End-of-course evaluations results, as one indicator of teaching effectiveness, are used to:

- a. to help instructors improve future offerings of courses;
- b. to inform students about courses and instructors;
- c. as a component of the teaching dossier; and
- d. to help administrators and faculty committees in their decision-making processes.

Written comments are treated as confidential and are not made available to the McGill community.

The course ratings reported here are only one indicator of teaching effectiveness and these results should be treated with caution since they represent reports on only one particular course.

COURSE: GERM325 001 : German Language - Intensive Advanced
 TERM CODE: 201201 MERCURY
 INSTRUCTOR: Peter Schweppe
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS / TOTAL REGISTERED : 15 / 19 = 78.9%

The departmental means are calculated from the Faculty of Arts - Undergraduate courses questionnaire.

SUMMARY OF EVALUATION RESULTS

QUEST- NO.	VALID REPLIES	RESPONSE BREAKDOWN					PERCENT BREAKDOWN	STD		DEPT		FIRST LINE OF QUESTION TEXT				
		1	2	3	4	5		N/A	1	2	3		4	5	N/A	DEPT MEAN
Q001	15			1	7	7		7	4.4	0.6	4.2	4.2	OVERALL, THIS IS AN EXCELLENT COURSE.			
Q002	15				7	8		47	4.5	0.5	4.3	4.4	OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS COURSE.			
Q003	15				5	10		33	4.7	0.5	4.5	4.6	P.S. : OVERALL, THIS INSTRUCTOR IS AN EXCELLENT TEACHER.			
Q004	15				5	10		33	4.7	0.5	4.4	4.4	P.S. : OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS INSTRUCTOR.			
Q005	15				7	8		47	4.5	0.5	4.2	4.2	P.S. : LECTURE(S) GIVEN BY THIS INSTRUCTOR WERE EFFECTIVE.			
Q006	15				2	13		13	4.9	0.4	4.6	4.6	P.S. : CONSIDERING CLASS SIZE, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AVAILABLE FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSULTATION.			
Q007	15				8	7		53	4.5	0.5	4.2	4.1	OVERALL, THIS COURSE WAS INTELLECTUALLY CHALLENGING.			
Q008	15			1	6	8		7	4.5	0.6	4.3	4.3	THE COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE CLEARLY EXPLAINED.			
Q009	15				1	14		7	4.9	0.3	4.6	4.6	STUDENTS WERE INVITED TO SHARE THEIR IDEAS AND KNOWLEDGE.			
Q010	15	1	2	2	2	10		7	4.4	1.0	4.1	4.0	TESTS, ASSIGNMENTS AND OTHER REQUIRED WORK FOR THE COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.			
Q011	15			2	7	6		13	4.3	0.7	4.2	4.2	THE EVALUATION METHODS USED IN THIS COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.			
Q012	15			2	7	6		13	4.3	0.7	4.2	4.1	COURSE MATERIALS (E.G., READINGS, LECTURE NOTES, EXERCISES, AUDIO-VISUAL PRESENTATIONS E			
Q014	13				1		12	8	4.0	0.0	4.1	4.2	THE TA WAS EFFECTIVE IN FULFILLING HIS/HER ROLE.			
Q015	8						8					100	0.0	1.0	1.0	FOR SOCIAL WORK STUDENTS ONLY: PLEASE SPECIFY IF YOU ARE BSW 90 CREDITS OR BSW 60 CRE

*** DEPT MEAN = Sum of all valid responses for this question in all courses in the department/number of such responses
 *** DEPT COURSE MEAN = Sum of the means for this question for all courses in the department/number of courses in the department



End-of-course evaluations results, as one indicator of teaching effectiveness, are used to:

- a. to help instructors improve future offerings of courses;
- b. to inform students about courses and instructors;
- c. as a component of the teaching dossier; and
- d. to help administrators and faculty committees in their decision-making processes.

Written comments are treated as confidential and are not made available to the McGill community.

The course ratings reported here are only one indicator of teaching effectiveness and these results should be treated with caution since they represent reports on only one particular course.

COURSE: GERM260 001 : Introduction to German Literature 2
 TERM CODE: 201301 MERCURY
 INSTRUCTOR: Peter Schweppe
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS / TOTAL REGISTERED : 19 / 27 = 70.4%

The departmental means are calculated from the Faculty of Arts - Undergraduate courses questionnaire.

SUMMARY OF EVALUATION RESULTS

QUEST- NO.	VALID REPLIES	RESPONSE BREAKDOWN					PERCENT BREAKDOWN	STD		DEPT		FIRST LINE OF QUESTION TEXT	
		1	2	3	4	5		N/A	1	2	3		4
Q001	19			7	12		37	63	4.6	0.5	4.3	4.3	OVERALL, THIS IS AN EXCELLENT COURSE.
Q002	19		1	7	11		5	37	4.5	0.6	4.4	4.4	OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS COURSE.
Q003	19			7	12			37	4.6	0.5	4.4	4.4	P.S. : OVERALL, THIS INSTRUCTOR IS AN EXCELLENT TEACHER.
Q004	19		2	6	11			11	4.5	0.7	4.4	4.4	P.S. : OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS INSTRUCTOR.
Q005	19		2	5	12			11	4.5	0.7	4.2	4.2	P.S. : LECTURE(S) GIVEN BY THIS INSTRUCTOR WERE EFFECTIVE.
Q006	19			5	13	1		26	4.7	0.5	4.6	4.6	P.S. : CONSIDERING CLASS SIZE, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AVAILABLE FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSULTATION.
Q007	19	1	3	10	5		5	16	4.0	0.8	4.2	4.2	OVERALL, THIS COURSE WAS INTELLECTUALLY CHALLENGING.
Q008	19		3	9	7			16	4.2	0.7	4.2	4.2	THE COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE CLEARLY EXPLAINED.
Q009	19			3	16			16	4.8	0.4	4.6	4.5	STUDENTS WERE INVITED TO SHARE THEIR IDEAS AND KNOWLEDGE.
Q010	19		3	8	8			16	4.3	0.7	4.2	4.2	TESTS, ASSIGNMENTS AND OTHER REQUIRED WORK FOR THE COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.
Q011	19		2	10	7			11	4.3	0.7	4.2	4.2	THE EVALUATION METHODS USED IN THIS COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.
Q012	18		2	7	9			11	4.4	0.7	4.2	4.2	COURSE MATERIALS (E.G., READINGS, LECTURE NOTES, EXERCISES, AUDIO-VISUAL PRESENTATIONS E
Q014	17					17		100	0.0	4.0	4.1	4.1	THE TA WAS EFFECTIVE IN FULFILLING HIS/HER ROLE.
Q015	14					14		100	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	FOR SOCIAL WORK STUDENTS ONLY: PLEASE SPECIFY IF YOU ARE BSW 90 CREDITS OR BSW 60 CRE

*** DEPT MEAN = Sum of all valid responses for this question in all courses in the department/number of such responses

*** DEPT COURSE MEAN = Sum of the means for this question for all courses in the department/number of courses in the department



End-of-course evaluations results, as one indicator of teaching effectiveness, are used to:

- a. to help instructors improve future offerings of courses;
- b. to inform students about courses and instructors;
- c. as a component of the teaching dossier; and
- d. to help administrators and faculty committees in their decision-making processes.

Written comments are treated as confidential and are not made available to the McGill community.

The course ratings reported here are only one indicator of teaching effectiveness and these results should be treated with caution since they represent reports on only one particular course.

COURSE: GERM307D2 001 : German Language - Intermediate
 TERM CODE: 201501 MERCURY
 INSTRUCTOR: Peter Schweppe
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS / TOTAL REGISTERED : 6 / 22 = 27.3%

The departmental means are calculated from the Faculty of Arts - Undergraduate courses questionnaire.

SUMMARY OF EVALUATION RESULTS

QUEST- NO.	VALID REPLIES	RESPONSE BREAKDOWN					PERCENT BREAKDOWN	STD MEAN	DEPT DEPT MEAN	COURSE MEAN	FIRST LINE OF QUESTION TEXT	
		1	2	3	4	5						N/A
Q001	5			2	3	40	60	4.6	0.5	4.3	4.3	OVERALL, THIS IS AN EXCELLENT COURSE.
Q002	5			2	3	40	60	4.6	0.5	4.4	4.5	OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS COURSE.
Q003	5			3	2	60	40	4.4	0.5	4.5	4.4	P.S. : OVERALL, THIS INSTRUCTOR IS AN EXCELLENT TEACHER.
Q004	5			2	3	40	60	4.6	0.5	4.4	4.4	P.S. : OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS INSTRUCTOR.
Q005	5			2	3	40	60	4.6	0.5	4.3	4.3	P.S. : LECTURE(S) GIVEN BY THIS INSTRUCTOR WERE EFFECTIVE.
Q006	5				5		100	5.0	0.0	4.6	4.6	P.S. : CONSIDERING CLASS SIZE, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AVAILABLE FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSULTATION.
Q007	5		2	3		40	60	3.6	0.5	4.2	4.2	OVERALL, THIS COURSE WAS INTELLECTUALLY CHALLENGING.
Q008	5			2	3	40	60	4.6	0.5	4.3	4.3	THE COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE CLEARLY EXPLAINED.
Q009	5			2	3	40	60	4.6	0.5	4.6	4.6	STUDENTS WERE INVITED TO SHARE THEIR IDEAS AND KNOWLEDGE.
Q010	5			3	2	60	40	4.4	0.5	4.2	4.2	TESTS, ASSIGNMENTS AND OTHER REQUIRED WORK FOR THE COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.
Q011	5			3	2	60	40	4.4	0.5	4.2	4.2	THE EVALUATION METHODS USED IN THIS COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.
Q012	5			2	3	40	60	4.6	0.5	4.3	4.2	COURSE MATERIALS (E.G., READINGS, LECTURE NOTES, EXERCISES, AUDIO-VISUAL PRESENTATIONS E
Q014	5				5		100	0.0	0.0	4.1	3.6	THE TA WAS EFFECTIVE IN FULFILLING HIS/HER ROLE.
Q015	5				5		100	0.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	FOR SOCIAL WORK STUDENTS ONLY: PLEASE SPECIFY IF YOU ARE BSW 90 CREDITS OR BSW 60 CRE

*** DEPT MEAN = Sum of all valid responses for this question in all courses in the department/number of such responses

*** DEPT COURSE MEAN = Sum of the means for this question for all courses in the department/number of courses in the department



End-of-course evaluations results, as one indicator of teaching effectiveness, are used to:

- a. to help instructors improve future offerings of courses;
- b. to inform students about courses and instructors;
- c. as a component of the teaching dossier; and
- d. to help administrators and faculty committees in their decision-making processes.

Written comments are treated as confidential and are not made available to the McGill community.

The course ratings reported here are only one indicator of teaching effectiveness and these results should be treated with caution since they represent reports on only one particular course.

COURSE: GERM260 001 : Introduction to German Literature 2
 TERM CODE: 201501 MERCURY
 INSTRUCTOR: Peter Schweppe
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS / TOTAL REGISTERED : 15 / 24 = 62.5%

The departmental means are calculated from the Faculty of Arts - Undergraduate courses questionnaire.

SUMMARY OF EVALUATION RESULTS

QUEST- NO.	VALID REPLIES	RESPONSE BREAKDOWN					N/A	PERCENT BREAKDOWN					STD MEAN	DEPT DEPT MEAN	COURSE MEAN	FIRST LINE OF QUESTION TEXT
		1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5				
Q001	15			6	9		40	60				4.6	0.5	4.3	4.3	OVERALL, THIS IS AN EXCELLENT COURSE.
Q002	15			7	8		47	53				4.5	0.5	4.4	4.5	OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS COURSE.
Q003	15			7	8		47	53				4.5	0.5	4.5	4.4	P.S. : OVERALL, THIS INSTRUCTOR IS AN EXCELLENT TEACHER.
Q004	15			7	8		47	53				4.5	0.5	4.4	4.4	P.S. : OVERALL, I LEARNED A GREAT DEAL FROM THIS INSTRUCTOR.
Q005	15		1	6	8		7	40	53			4.5	0.6	4.3	4.3	P.S. : LECTURE(S) GIVEN BY THIS INSTRUCTOR WERE EFFECTIVE.
Q006	15				14	1			93	7		5.0	0.0	4.6	4.6	P.S. : CONSIDERING CLASS SIZE, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AVAILABLE FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSULTATION.
Q007	15		3	9	3		20	60	20			4.0	0.7	4.2	4.2	OVERALL, THIS COURSE WAS INTELLECTUALLY CHALLENGING.
Q008	14		1	4	9		7	29	64			4.6	0.6	4.3	4.3	THE COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE CLEARLY EXPLAINED.
Q009	15			1	14		7	93				4.9	0.3	4.6	4.6	STUDENTS WERE INVITED TO SHARE THEIR IDEAS AND KNOWLEDGE.
Q010	15		1	6	8		7	40	53			4.5	0.6	4.2	4.2	TESTS, ASSIGNMENTS AND OTHER REQUIRED WORK FOR THE COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.
Q011	15			8	7			53	47			4.5	0.5	4.2	4.2	THE EVALUATION METHODS USED IN THIS COURSE WERE APPROPRIATE.
Q012	15			8	7			53	47			4.5	0.5	4.3	4.2	COURSE MATERIALS (E.G., READINGS, LECTURE NOTES, EXERCISES, AUDIO-VISUAL PRESENTATIONS E
Q014	12					12				100		0.0	0.0	4.1	3.6	THE TA WAS EFFECTIVE IN FULFILLING HIS/HER ROLE.
Q015	8					8				100		0.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	FOR SOCIAL WORK STUDENTS ONLY: PLEASE SPECIFY IF YOU ARE BSW 90 CREDITS OR BSW 60 CRE

*** DEPT MEAN = Sum of all valid responses for this question in all courses in the department/number of such responses
 *** DEPT COURSE MEAN = Sum of the means for this question for all courses in the department/number of courses in the department